

CANADA CARBON INC.

Management Discussion and Analysis For The Year Ended December 31, 2013

April 16, 2014

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and related notes included therein. All monetary amounts, unless otherwise indicated, are expressed in Canadian dollars. Additional regulatory filings for the Company can be found on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com. The Company's website can be found at www.canadcarbon.com.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this document constitute "forward-looking statements". When used in this document, the words "may", "would", "could", "will", "intend", "plan", "propose", "anticipate", "believe", "forecast", "estimate", "expect" and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect the Company's current views with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many factors could cause the Company's actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements that may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. The Company does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update any such factors or to publicly announce the result of any revisions to any of the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect future results, events or developments.

Overview

Canada Carbon Inc. (the "Company" or "Canada Carbon") was a junior natural resource company focused on the acquisition and exploration of natural resource properties. The Company was incorporated under the British Columbia Company Act on August 13, 1985, and was continued under the laws of the Province of Ontario on September 19, 2007. The Company was a reporting issuer in British Columbia, Alberta and Ontario and was listed on the TSX Venture Exchange under the symbol "BRU." The Company is also listed on the Pink Sheets as BRUZF and the Frankfurt Exchange under the symbol "U7N".

During fiscal 2012, with the acquisition of graphite claims, the Company created a new business model and redesigned website. The Company began the process of positioning itself as a company focused on the exploration and sale of graphite.

On September 17, 2012, the Company's shareholders approved a name change to Canada Carbon Inc. to better reflect the Company's new focus. The name change became effective on October 5, 2012. The Company is currently traded on the TSX Venture Exchange under the symbol "CCB".

In early fiscal 2013, the Company decided to curtail its sales operations and focus its efforts on the exploration aspect of the business.

Overall Performance

The Company incurred a net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$1,047,538 compared with a net loss of \$6,181,097 in the prior year. The Company experienced a decrease in virtually all recurring expense categories.

The Company's burn rate was high between May 2012 and March 2013 as a result of the move of the head office to Oakville and consulting contracts with a number of individuals with previous graphite and sales experience. In the first quarter of fiscal 2013, given the lack of sales generated, the Company terminated the Exclusive Distribution Agreements with GEC (ASIA) Industry Co., Ltd and CGT Carbon GmbH and eliminated its sales force. In addition, the Company terminated its CEO and eliminated a number of head office positions. In April 2013, the Company assigned its office lease obligations to a third party. As of April 2013, the Company has significantly reduced its overhead expense burn rate.

The Company is utilizing its cash resources to focus on the development of its existing graphite properties.

On January 7, 2013, the Company entered into purchase and transfer agreements to acquire certain mining claims in relation to three properties: the Miller, Dun Raven and Walker located in Quebec, Canada. The Miller Mine is a past producer of graphite located 70km west of Montreal. This mine may have been the first graphite operation in Canada. It was worked around 1845 to at least 1900 when it was reported that a twenty-five car trainload of lump graphite was shipped from the property. The property consists of nine claims covering 5.4 km² including the past mine and similar geology around the original mine site, with road access and power nearby. The Dun Raven is a graphite property located near Shawville, QC, about 80km west of Ottawa. A geophysical anomaly exists of which only about 15% has been drilled. A historic resource was calculated to contain 571,532 tons of ore grading 4.72% graphite, based on the drill assays. The package consists of fifteen claims, one of which includes almost the entire anomaly. The Walker Mine is a past producer of graphite located 30 km northeast of Ottawa. The property consists of four claims covering the past mine and eleven claims covering interesting geological formations with potential graphite mineralization around the original mine. In March 2013, the Company decided not to pursue the Walker property.

In February and March 2013, the sampling was conducted on the Miller Mine property. The sampling yielded head grades from graphite rich veins in excess of 80% Graphitic Carbon ("Cg"). The Company was encouraged by the results of the initial sampling and began its Phase I work program in early May 2013. The work program, consisting of mapping and geophysical surveying comprising MaxMin electromagnetics ("EM"), resulted in the identification of 17 new conductive anomalies. Trenching over some of the anomalies has resulted in the discovery of multiple new graphite occurrences.

Geotech Ltd. ("Geotech") of Aurora, Ontario was contracted to complete a helicopter airborne Versatile Time Domain Electromagnetic (VTEM Plus) and Horizontal Magnetic Gradiometer Geophysical Survey on the Miller Graphite property. The VTEM plus System is excellent for locating discrete conductive anomalies as well as mapping lateral and vertical variations in resistivity. The system offers penetration through conductive covers, spotting of drill targets from the results, excellent resistivity discrimination and detection of weak anomalies. The airborne survey identified five high priority targets and 86 clusters of smaller-sized electromagnetic anomalies. EMIT Maxwell Plate Modeling on the East claim block over three anomalies identified proposed drill targets. Modeling of the West claim block also produced drill targets.

Surface samples from the Phase I work program produced results of 99.2% Cg from the first series of beneficiation tests conducted at SGS Canada Inc. (Lakefield) ("SGS"). Results from a second purification test conducted at SGS using two different purification processes both yielded results exceeding the target of >99.0% Cg.

Overall Performance (Continued)

A sample of graphite concentrate purified by SGS was submitted for glow discharge mass spectrometer ("GDMS") analysis by Evan Analytical in Liverpool, New York ("EAG"). GDMS analysis has the ability to quantify impurities at trace concentrations in high-purity inorganic solids. The sample results indicated an exceptional concentrate grade of 99.965% total carbon which surpasses the purity threshold for nuclear graphite. A second GDMS test was performed by EAG on a Miller graphite sample that had been subjected to a two stage caustic roast/acid leaching process by SGS. The sample was analyzed as received and then after rapid high temperature heat treatment. More than 90% of the contaminants were removed by rapid thermal upgrading yielding graphite of 99.9978% purity.

Crystallinity and exfoliative behaviour tests on a graphite sample from the Miller property were conducted by EAG. The crystallinity results were obtained using Raman spectroscopy which determines the degree of crystallinity of certain materials including graphite. A sample of the Miller high-purity graphite was submitted to a "LabRam" J-Y Spectrometer. An Ar+ ion laser (514.5 nm wavelength) with an 1800 gr/mm grating was used for the measurements. The EAG laboratory report indicated that the Raman spectrum was that of a single crystal of graphite. The last step in the exfoliation of graphene from natural graphite is by immersion in a polar solvent, in combination with sonication (high-frequency vibrations induced by ultra-sound emitters). A sample of the high-purity Miller graphite was dispersed in the non-polar solvent carbon disulphide, and without sonication, the sample partially exfoliated. Additional characterization procedures will be conducted on the Miller graphite.

A NQ sized diamond drill hole program on the Miller property began in the summer of 2013. A total of 12 holes were drilled. The drilling was completed in August 2013. Assays results indicate that graphite and wollastonite mineralization exposed at surface extend to a depth of 39 metres.

A winter drill program commenced in December 2013 with the objective of testing the three targets on the East block identified by Geotech modelling. The winter campaign encountered bad weather which slowed down the overall drilling. A total of 547 metres in nine holes was completed. During the movement of the drill to one of the target sites, a graphite rich vein (VN3) was exposed. The VN3 discovery was subjected to drilling with six shallow drill holes. The most significant results of the drill program were from the VN3 discovery.

The new vein discovery triggered the Company to halt the drill campaign and perform a ground electromagnetic survey, using a PhiSpy system, over the large VTEM anomaly surrounding one of its East block target areas to find the best targets before drilling resumes. A second PhiSpy survey was performed to cover the area between VN2 and the Miller Mine pit as well as covering IP anomalies from previous surveys. The survey showed many local anomalies. Winter field work including trenching, beep-mat and TDEM surveys, and prospecting is currently underway on the new PhiSpy anomalies. Drilling will resume once reinterpretation of all results are complete.

The Company obtained a bulk sampling permit to enable the extraction and shipment of 480 tons of graphite bearing material. The Company has stock piled material containing graphite vein mineralization in marble, paragneiss and wollastonite, as well as high-grade lump graphite.

A surface access rights agreement was secured for the Miller graphite property. In addition, 0.5% of the Net Production Royalty ("NPR") on the initial Miller property purchase and transfer agreement was purchased thereby reducing the NPR to 1.5%.

During fiscal 2013, the Company staked an additional 145 claims contiguous to the Miller property. In April 2013, the Company purchased 3 contiguous claims from a third party. An additional 5 contiguous claims were purchased from the same third party vendor in July 2013. In October 2013, the Company purchased an additional 14 mining claims and 10 pending claims.

Overall Performance (Continued)

The Company has engaged Inlandsis Consultants to produce a 43-101 compliant Technical Report for the Miller property. The report should be available shortly.

During fiscal 2013, the Company closed numerous private placements which provided the Company with gross proceeds of \$1,536,560. The Company will require additional funds for its 2014 work programs and administrative costs.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties

Asbury Graphite Property, Quebec, Canada

In August 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with Uragold Bay Resources Inc. ("Uragold" or "UBR") for the purchase of UBR's Asbury mining claims. The past producing Asbury Graphite Mine property consists of two claims and is located approximately 10km northeast of Notre-Dame-du-Laus and about 120km north of the Ottawa-Gatineau area. The terms of the agreement are disclosed in the notes to the year-end financial statements.

The Asbury Graphite Mine property is accessible by a good road and a power transmission line runs to the property. Some of the old mill structure still exists and could be refurbished to house a dry milling process.

In December 2012, the Company announced the completion of a NI 43-101 report on the Asbury Graphite Mine. This report describes the exploration potential related to the Asbury Graphite Mine. The data in the report was mostly obtained from historical assessment exploration reports. The report can be found on the Company's website.

The NI 43-101 report noted that historical exploration by various companies and subsequent resource evaluations lead to an historical production by Asbury Graphex from 1974 to 1988. Open pit mining allowed the extraction of 875,000 metric tons of graphite ore at a cut off grade of 6% on the current property. Historical geophysics (EM) over the property reveals three conductive zones, named A to C, striking north-south and thus conforming to the local bedding. Anomaly A is 825m long and 30m wide and is located west of the open pit. Anomaly B is 530m long and 35m wide and is located southwest of the open pit. This anomaly was drilled by one diamond drill hole and 40.5m of graphitic rock grading 2.30% C total was encountered, including 4.07% C total over 11.7 m. Anomaly C is 230 m long and 10 m wide and is in the open pit, going toward south. Four less important conductor axes are also present, along with a small part of another EM anomaly.

The presence of distinct graphitic rock units is compatible with the skarn deposit model, which may imply several mineralized lenses of comparable quality. In addition, significant graphite mineralization can also be present along the extensions to the south and at depth from the open pit.

The NI 43-101 report recommended follow up activities including: (1) an exhaustive map compilation of historic drilling and geophysical survey on the property (2) a detailed Max-Min geophysical ground survey to confirm and complete historical data, and, finally (3) a drilling program testing the best targets revealed by the geophysical compilation and the geophysical survey. Particular attention should also be applied to the immediate area of the mine pit to test its southern and downward extensions. A drilling program is contingent on positive results of the data compilation and geophysical EM survey in confirming the presence of significant conductive anomalies.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had incurred \$654,379 in acquisition costs and \$443,687 on exploration and evaluation expenditures on the Asbury claims, net of recoveries.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties

Miller, Walker and Dun Raven Properties, Quebec, Canada

In December 2012, the Company entered into a term sheet with 9228-6202 Quebec Inc. to acquire certain mining claims in relation to three properties: the Miller, Dun Raven and Walker mines located in Quebec, Canada. A purchase and transfer agreement for each property was signed on January 7, 2013. The terms of the agreements are disclosed in the notes to the year-end financial statements.

Miller

The Miller Graphite Mine, located in Grenville Township is a past graphite and mica producer. This mine was worked around 1845 and was probably the first graphite operation in Canada. The quantity of produced graphite is unknown but it is reported that 25 rail cars of lump graphite was shipped from this mine in the year 1900 and sent to the Globe Refining Company of Jersey City, N.J. This yielded thirty-two tons of clean crucible graphite. The Morgan Crucible Company of London and also J.H. Gauthier and Company, Jersey City, used some of this graphite in their crucibles and pronounced it equal to the best graphite known to come from Ceylon (now Sri Lanka).

The property acquired from 9228-6202 Quebec Inc. consists of nine (9) claims covering the past mine and a similar geologic context for more graphite mineralization around the mine site. The property covers 5.4 km² of land and is located 80 km west of Montreal. Main roads connect up to 800m away from the mine site and travel all around the property. A powerline also crosses the property 500m south of the site, and a bush road goes directly to it, which allows for very easy access.

In April 2013, the Company purchased another 3 claims from a third party covering 1.8 km² of land contiguous to the Miller Mine. An additional five contiguous claims were acquired in July 2013.

A sampling program conducted by Canada Carbon in February and March 2013 identified grades as high as 80.1% Cg and assessed the visible graphite veins through a series of new samples taken directly along and into the vein with a chisel and hammer and went to a depth of approximately 30-50mm. The samples were removed directly from the vein. The purpose of this program was to further confirm the grades encountered within the graphitic zone. Based on subsequent lab analysis conducted by Activation Laboratories ("Actlabs") of Ancaster, Ontario immediately after collecting the samples using the IR process (Leco), the results confirmed the presence of high quality lump/vein graphite.

Based on the encouraging results of the February and March 2013 sampling, the Company focussed its exploration efforts on a work program on the Miller property. A Phase I program consisting of geological mapping of the Miller Graphite Mine pit along with a geophysical survey of the surroundings for the detection of other veins was completed in June 2013.

Multiple electro-magnetic survey methods were applied by Géosig Inc. to compare the conductive response of known graphite veins through an orientation study, including those at the historical Miller Graphite Mine site. The results of the geophysical surveys assisted in establishing high priority drill targets and helped to characterize the known graphite occurrences. Only 1.3 km² of the Miller property land package was surveyed.

Instruments used in the Phase I exploration program included the MaxMin II-5, an IMAGEM prototype #2, a Beep-Mat 4+, a TxII 1800W transmitter with ELREC-6 receiver, and an Induced Polarization ("IP") survey. The MaxMin survey covered a total of 4.3 line-km with readings every 12.5 metres. The IMAGEM survey totalled 2.5 line-kilometres over lines adjacent to the historic Miller pit, and 20 readings per metre. The IP survey was done over 1.3 line-kilometres as a follow-up on IMAGEM anomalies. Within the Miller pit, the main vein at the southeast corner was delineated with the Beep Mat and was found to curve east into a brecciated zone comprising several conductive veins.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

The IMAGEM survey identified seventeen (17) new anomalies. The two strongest anomalies occur 100 metres west and 20 metres east of the mine pit, with weaker but well-defined anomalous peaks to the southeast of the mine pit. The weaker anomalies are found southeast of the pit, and can be correlated from line to line to form a NW-SE trending conductive axis 320 metres in length. The axis passes north through the historic pit for 90 metres and to the southeast for 230 metres, and corresponds to the contact between marble and quartzite mapped in 1991, which is still open to the north. The Beep Mat 4+ tracked the known graphite vein extending southeast from the mine pit, which continues southeast for 25 metres, then curves east into an area with that generates a broad positive Beep Mat response. The broad response is perpendicular to the IMAGEM conductive axis, and is of particular interest as it is a brecciated zone with several intersecting graphite veins.

The IP survey included three lines as a test of the method over IMAGEM anomalies generated west and east of the Miller pit. Normalized chargeability (NC) was used to compensate for background variations linked to overburden thickness. Accordingly, ten (10) IP anomalies were detected and numbered IP-1 to IP-10. Some anomalies are correlated between lines, with IP-1 extending over 145 metres in a north-south direction, 100 metres west of the mine pit and following a geological contact between marble and quartzite. At one station, the IP-1 conductor is coincident with IMAGEM and Beep Mat anomalies, confirming the presence of a conductive body under shallow overburden. IP-1 appears to follow the southwestern contact of the marble unit with quartzite, and is still open in both directions. IP-4 and IP-5 anomalies are found immediately east of the Miller Pit, where a large graphite vein and brecciated zone are known to occur and where the three other methods also returned conductive signals. IP-7, IP-8 and IP-9 are located over a known geological contact between the marble unit and the paragneiss unit on the eastern part of the survey. The IP survey covered only 0.11 km² of the Miller property.

The discovery of a new graphite occurrence resulted from trenching on IP-1, one of the geophysical EM anomalies that were identified. This new occurrence ("VN1") is an irregular vein of semi massive coarse graphite. The graphite vein is exposed along a 12.8 metres (41.98 feet) strike length, having a NW-SE (148°) orientation and sub vertical dip. From SE to NW the vein varies in width between 1 m and 1.7 m for up to 7.9 m (26 feet). Within that length, the vein maintains a 1.6 m thickness over 2.5 m. Toward the NW, the vein continues beneath a more competent zone in the host rocks for a length of 1.2m. The vein re-appears on the other side of the competent rock and reaches a thickness ranging from 10 cm to 1 m (3.9 inches to 3.28 feet) over a strike length of 3.7 m. Other graphite veins of smaller size can be observed on both sides of the main vein, on available exposures. Finer grained graphite can be locally observed within the surrounding carbonate host rocks. The new occurrence is exposed below 1 to 3 m of glacial till.

Samples taken from the property during the Phase I work program were sent for analysis. All carbon analyses were performed by SGS and are reported as total carbon ("Ct") by Leco or graphitic carbon ("Cg") employing a roast, followed by a leach and Leco assay of the leach residue.

In July 2013, the results from the first series of beneficiation tests conducted at SGS were released. The results are detailed below:

- 1) Initial Flotation Test - A 2 kilogram (kg) surface sample taken from an exposed vein with a grade of 61.2% Cg (65.1% Ct) was concentrated by grinding and flotation to 79.2% Cg (84.1% Ct). The +48 mesh size (jumbo size) fraction represented 34.3% of the flotation concentrate and was assayed at 93.5% Cg (94.4% Ct). This represents 40.5% of the graphitic carbon in the concentrate. The result was obtained in a single flotation test without process optimization.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

2) Leach Test - The +48 mesh fraction of the concentrate was subjected to two (2) different hydrometallurgical purification processes. A traditional leach process yielded a concentrate that assayed 99.2% Cg (100 % Ct).

SGS conducted a second two-stage hydrometallurgical purification process. The alternative purification process treated the +48 mesh concentrate with an alkaline roast followed by a conventional acid leach. The alkaline roast stage increased the purity from 93.5% Cg (94.4% Ct) to 99.1% Cg (100% Ct). The acid leach stage resulted in an exceptional product grade of 100% Cg (100% Ct). A Loss on Ignition (LOI) test was also performed resulting in 100% loss. The presence of impurities in the graphite would have resulted in some ash residue however, according to SGS there was a complete burn.

Further process development commenced at the end of July 2013 to determine the effects of repeated grind and flotation in order to achieve a higher graphitic carbon grade in the concentrate prior to purification. Upgrading the ore through conventional mineral processing technologies including grinding and flotation constitutes a well-established and low-cost upgrading approach. In August 2013, the Company announced the results from the additional milling and flotation test conducted by SGS. The modified protocol yielded a +48 mesh flotation concentrate of 99.1% Cg and 100% Ct. The process subjected a -6 mesh sample to various grinding times and media, each one followed by three to four stages of cleaner flotation. The final cleaner concentrate represented 70.0% of the original feed and contained 93.2% Ct, which is a substantial improvement from the previous test at 84.1% Ct. The concentrate grade of the +200 mesh size fractions was exceptionally high at 98.1% Ct and increased further to 98.7% Ct in the +100 mesh size fractions. Further, the carbon recovery into the final flotation concentrate was increased from 73.4% to 97.2%. A particle size distribution was conducted on this final cleaner concentrate and sieve fractions assayed for Ct and Cg.

In July 2013, the Company contracted Geotech Ltd. ("Geotech") of Aurora, Ontario to complete a helicopter airborne Versatile Time Domain Electromagnetic (VTEM Plus) and Horizontal Magnetic Gradiometer Geophysical Survey. The VTEM plus System is excellent for locating discrete conductive anomalies as well as mapping lateral and vertical variations in resistivity. The system offers penetration through conductive covers, spotting of drill targets from the results, excellent resistivity discrimination and detection of weak anomalies. A total of 335.9 line km of geophysical data was expected to be acquired. The airborne survey was to be flown at 100 metre line spacing on the property with 50 metre line spacing surrounding the 2.3 km² of the Miller Mine pit area. The equipment and crew began mobilizing to the historic Miller Graphite mine project in mid-July 2013. Geotech was expected to generate anomaly picking maps, resistivity depth sections, EM Plate Modeling using EMIT Maxwell and 3D resistivity depth voxels on a detailed grid. Those products would be used to facilitate a detailed interpretation of the results of the survey. In September 2013, the Company received the preliminary VTEM airborne survey results from Geotech. The preliminary results identified multiple anomalies over the 20.7 square kilometre Miller property.

In September 2013, further trenching in the VN1 discovery area revealed a new graphite occurrence (VN2) that is 25 m from VN1. The new VN2 discovery is up to 1.5 m thick and can be followed for over 3 m in length at surface. Multiple secondary graphite veins were also identified and are associated with a total of six mineralized pods of metric to pluri-metric size. Samples from each of the six pods were sent for assaying. The veins and pods of high grade graphite mineralization are aligned in a NE-SW orientation and follow the contact between marble and paragneiss. The total trench length for the mineralized corridor is 52 m and is open on all sides.

Trenching was conducted to further extend the VN2 discovery, which occurs within a large 300 m long EM anomaly identified from the preliminary VTEM airborne survey results. The current trenching is

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

located on the east flank of this EM anomaly that is also elongated toward the east, and the VN2 graphite discovery may explain the asymmetry of the EM anomaly.

George Downing Estate Drilling Ltd. of Grenville-sur-la-Rouge, Quebec was contracted to complete at least 350 m of NQ sized diamond drill holes on the Miller property. Drilling was conducted over late July 2013 and early August 2013, with the objective of testing the depth and lateral extent of the various veins. The assay results from its trenching and drilling programs collectively demonstrate that the graphite and wollastonite mineralization exposed at surface extends to a depth of 39m. Deeper extensions suggested by core observations will be the focus of future drilling programs.

A total of 595.5 m of core in 12 holes was drilled at the Miller Mine Project, of which 33.5 m with higher visible graphite content from 7 drill holes have been assayed for graphite. Channel samples were sent to Actlabs. Core samples were half split and also sent to Actlabs. Quarter splits of richer intersections were sent to SGS and quarter splits of the graphite veins were sent to Actlabs for additional assaying of the richest intersections. Actlabs results are reported using protocol 5D-C in which the samples underwent drying, crushing with up to 90% passing through a #10 square-mesh screen, riffle splitting (250 gram) and pulverizing to 95% passing a 105 micron square-mesh screen. Graphitic carbon (Cg) was determined by multistage furnace treatment and infrared absorption, with a 0.05% detection limit. SGS prepared the samples by crushing to 75% passing 2 millimetre, splitting (250 gram) and pulverizing to 85% passing 75 micron square-mesh screen. Graphitic carbon was determined by difference from the carbon assay (after ashing) by tube furnace/coulometer minus the carbonate carbon (after ashing) by coulometry. Results from the drilling and trenching are reported in the tables below:

Drilling Results for the Miller Graphite Project. All holes are NQ.

Drillhole	Azimuth, degrees	Inclination, degrees	From, m	To, m	Interval, m*	%Cg	
VN1-02	--	-90	0.00	1.35	1.35	7.22	
VN2-01	--	-90	1.00	3.00	2.00	32.45	
			<i>including</i>	1.00	1.30	0.30	53.60
			<i>and</i>	1.70	2.60	0.90	51.70
			3.00	7.50	4.50	2.51	
			7.50	9.60	2.10	9.65	
VN2-02	060	-45	<i>including</i>	8.50	8.90	0.40	11.50
			0.00	4.00	4.00	2.32	
DDH13-03	240	-55	0.00	2.00	2.00	1.61	
			<i>including</i>	0.80	1.10	0.30	6.33
			46.70	48.70	2.00	6.14	
DDH13-04	240	-55	<i>including</i>	47.50	48.40	0.90	15.14
			27.00	28.00	1.00	4.70	
			<i>including</i>	27.60	27.75	0.15	11.90
			39.50	42.00	2.50	8.12	
			<i>including</i>	41.30	41.80	0.50	14.50
DDH13-05	250	-55	48.00	49.50	1.50	4.20	
			<i>including</i>	48.05	48.20	0.15	8.59
DDH13-07	060	-55	2.30	2.60	0.30	22.70	
			47.00	48.00	1.00	6.51	

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

Trench Sample Results for the Miller Graphite Project

Sample material	Channel width, cm	Channel length, m	%Cg
VN2	2.5	1.3	28.2
	<i>including</i>	<i>0.25</i>	49.7
Pod #1	2.5	0.6	10.1
Pod #2	2.5	1.0	18.6
Pod #3	2.5	1.3	22.2
Pod #3	2.5	0.58	6.57
Pod #4	2.5	0.44	42.0
Pod #5	2.5	0.5	24.4
Pod #5	2.5	0.65	12.5
Pod #5	2.5	0.5	17.7
Pod #6	2.5	0.5	33.0

NOTES: Only core samples with high visible graphite content were assayed. *Data are insufficient at this time to estimate true thicknesses.

The most significant results are from the VN2 surface showing, where the mineralization is located at the contact between marble and paragneiss, with local folding often acting as a focus of mineralization. Assays confirm 28.2% Cg over 1.3 m in a channel sample, including 49.7% Cg over 0.25 m. Associated with the mineralization is a graphite-wollastonite pod that assayed 24.4% Cg over 0.5 m and 17.7% Cg over 0.5 m in channel samples. Drilling intersected the graphite-wollastonite pod at 39.3 meters (vertically) beneath the VN2 showing in hole DDH13-03, returning assays similar to the surface results, with 15.14% Cg over 0.9 m. Drill hole DDH13-04 laterally extended the graphite-wollastonite mineralization 14 m toward the east, and intersected 14.5% Cg over 0.5 m at 33.8 m (vertically) underground.

Some drill holes also tested the VN2 at near surface. Drill hole VN02-01 resulted in 32.45% Cg over 2 m from 1 to 3 m down, including two veins assaying 53.6% Cg over 0.3 m and 51.7% Cg over 0.9 m. The Company believes that a mineralized zone is present along the depth extension of the VN2 showing, as demonstrated by the graphite-wollastonite mineralisation found at surface and depth, and will conduct further drilling to find large graphite-rich veins similar to those closely associated with graphite-wollastonite pods at surface. Drill holes DDH13-03 and DDH13-04 were the only holes that tested the showing at depth.

Channel samples were also collected from other graphite-wollastonite pods found during trenching. All channel samples were taken perpendicular to the orientation of the pods. The pods are of meter-scale and consist of calcite, diopside, feldspar, wollastonite and graphite. They have a pegmatitic texture and are primarily located along the contact between marble and paragneiss. From the trench trending northeast to the southwest over 55 m, six graphite pods were sampled. Assays returned values up to: 10.1% Cg over 0.6 m, 18.6% Cg over 1 m, 22.2% Cg over 1.3 m (VN1 showing), 42% Cg over 0.44 m, 24.4% over 0.5 m (Pod near the VN2 showing) and 33% Cg over 0.5 m. The above table show more results of sampling over the pods. The Company's ore genesis model suggests that high grade mineralization was deposited as graphite-rich pods and lump veins along permeable channels utilized by the fluids and gases as they moved toward lower pressure zones.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

In September 2013, the Company announced that it had secured surface access rights for its Miller graphite property with two landholders who are affiliated with each other. The agreement allows the Company to carry out regular graphite prospecting and exploration for an initial period of five years. The Company has the exclusive and irrevocable option to acquire or lease all or part of the property from the landholders. If the Company exercises the option prior to the expiry of the five year term, the term of the agreement will be extended through the period of commercial production.

The Company also purchased 0.5% of the net production royalty ("NPR") in relation to the initial Miller property purchase and transfer agreement thereby reducing the NPR to 1.5%.

Additional mining claims were acquired in October 2013, with the purchase of 14 mining claims and 10 pending claims contiguous to the Company's historic Miller Graphite Mine. During fiscal 2013, the Company staked an additional 145 claims contiguous to the Miller graphite claims of which 90 are still pending government approval.

In October 2013, the final results of the VTEM airborne survey conducted by Geotech Ltd. were reported. Five high priority targets were identified, two of which are known to correspond with marble rocks that host the graphite elsewhere on the property. Additionally, the calculated time constant processing (or 'Tau' constant) identified 86 clusters of smaller-sized EM anomalies on the property which show a strong relationship in signal between each other.

The VTEM survey entirely covered two claim blocks (named East and West) that constitute the Miller property. Principal sensors for the survey included a Time Domain EM system and two magnetometers to measure horizontal gradient. The total surveyed area is 25 km² and the total line coverage is 336 line-km. Signals due to known cultural sources such as power lines and houses were removed from the EM data. On the East block, survey lines were flown in a northeast to southwest direction, with a line spacing of 100 m. A spacing of 50m was implemented in the central part of the block where historic mining took place, and where graphite veins and pods are currently being tested through trenching and drilling. Two major anomalies (E1 and E2) are present on the East block, occurring respectively at 100m depth and 80-100m depths. Anomaly E1 is located 800m north of the mine pit, with an approximate diameter of 400m. Magnetic maps show that E1 is located between two magnetic anomalies that could correspond to the contact of two geological units with a similar geological context to the known Miller mineralization. Anomaly E2 is located next to the current trench work area. Anomaly E1 is positioned where Canada Carbon obtained a surface access rights agreement for exploration work, with E2 partly included along its north extension.

The West block was flown in a northwest to southeast direction with line spacing of 100 m. The West block hosts three major anomalies (W1 to W3). Anomaly W1 is located in marble and is sub-vertical at 100m depth, and W2 is also located on a contact zone of a marble with intrusive rocks. Both W2 and W3 are close to the surface according to the survey results. The anomalies were later modeled to give drill targets to Canada Carbon.

The five main conductive targets were selected for their high amplitude conductivity, along with their significant extent (hundreds of metres) and detailed morphology. Additional conductive anomalies of lesser amplitude form dense clusters on both claim blocks. These clusters were selected on the basis of their spatial distribution and by the nature of the EM signal in between them as depicted on time constant image generated by Geotech.

On the East block, 40 EM clusters consisting of one or more EM anomalies are present, with the clusters having a mean diameter of approximately 100 meters. Among these anomalies, a 500m along-strike anomaly with a depth of at least 100 meters is present in the vicinity of the Miller mine pit and will be

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

subject of further resolution by Geotech. Southeast of the Miller mine pit, an anomaly is also present that shows along-strike continuity with the mine pit anomaly. Many small historic trenches are found along these anomalies at surface, and Company geologists believe that it is very likely that graphite is the cause of these new anomalies.

The West block hosts 46 clusters with a mean size of approximately 200m, and is scheduled to be tested through prospecting and beep-mat surveys to prioritize their potential.

Previous EM methods used on the property resulted in the discovery of many graphite veins. The many historic graphite pits and trenches on the property indicate that graphite is distributed widely and the Company expects that many of the clusters will be correlated with graphite mineralization. Each of the anomaly clusters has the requisite size and EM response to represent potential new individual graphite discoveries. On-ground prospecting and beep mat surveys are planned over the main anomalies as well as over several of the clusters.

In October 2013, the Company submitted a sample of graphite concentrate, that was purified by SGS, for glow discharge mass spectrometer (GDMS) analysis by Evan Analytical in Liverpool, New York. The primary advantages of GDMS are its ability to quantify impurities at trace concentrations in high-purity inorganic solids, and to quantify concentrations of up to 73 contaminant chemical elements in a single analysis. The majority of the contaminant elements in the purified Miller graphite concentrate yielded concentrations that were below the analytical detection limit for each element. The sum of the concentrations of all elements yielded a concentration of less than 350 ppm (or g/t), which by difference translates to an exceptional concentrate grade of 99.965% total carbon. These exceptional purity results for graphite obtained with a non-optimized flotation and purification process further support earlier indications that the graphite from the Miller property may be suitable for applications requiring ultra-pure grades, such as some core components of nuclear reactors.

Environmental assessment activities have commenced on the property. Geostar Inc. (Brownsburg-Chatham, QC) was engaged to perform an evaluation of the property for bog land and humid vegetation areas. The report shows that no such land is present in the work area. The Company will also initiate the evaluation of a river located 250 meters north of the currently worked area, consisting of sediment and water sampling to determine whether contamination exists from the historic mining operations. The Company is in the process of requesting environmental authorization to pursue its trench work in the vein and pod discovery area due to the expected size of the future trench. The objective is to further expose the mineralized contact between the paragneiss and the marble, which hosts multiple graphite veins and graphite pods.

The Company applied for a bulk sampling permit which would enable extraction and shipping of large samples of graphite-bearing material. The Company has multiple stockpiles of graphite bearing material some of which were recently discovered near the historic Miller Mine pit as well as graphite material collected as it is displaced from trenching during current exploration activities. The stockpiles were discovered during beep-mat surveying and prospecting. The total stock piled material contains 640 tonnes of graphite vein mineralization in marble, paragneiss and wollastonite, as well as five tonnes of high-grade lump graphite. Wollastonite is present in the stockpiled material and in the area currently being trenched, and occurs with graphite as acicular crystals up to ten centimetres in size. Wollastonite has a wide variety of uses in the automotive industry, and the Company is investigating the recovery of wollastonite as a by-product of graphite mining at the Miller mine. Samples from the stockpiles have been sent to Actlabs for total graphite analysis, and the results will be used to prioritize processing of the stockpiled material.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

In November 2013, the Company received the final modeling results from the Geotech VTEM airborne survey performed over the East claim block of the Miller graphite property. Geotech Ltd performed an EMIT Maxwell Plate Modeling on the East block over three electro-magnetic anomalies that were selected for their size, shape and amplitude. The plate model allows the prediction of specific parameters for a rock body, such that it explains the observed anomaly's characteristics. Parameters of the modeled plate include location, depth to surface of the body, dip, rotation, length, depth extent and conductivity-thickness. These parameters allow the selection of drill collar parameters to optimally test the inferred source of the electro-magnetic anomaly. All of the proposed drill target models fit the measured data well.

Target E1 is located 800 m north of the Miller Mine pit and has been modeled as a 130 m by 120 m plate, dipping towards the south-west at 20 degrees. The top of the plate is located at about 140 m from surface. A confident estimation of thickness cannot be done on horizontal plates. Magnetic maps show that target E1 is located between two magnetic anomalies that could correspond to the contact of two geological units with a similar geological context to that of the known Miller mineralization.

Target E2 is located adjacent to the current trench work area. This target is near horizontal, striking northwest for about 250 m, and has a width of about 45m. Similar to target E1, a proper evaluation of thickness cannot be done, since the modeled target is also a horizontal plate. The top surface of the conductor is approximately 90m from surface.

Target E3 is located south-east of the Miller Mine pit and shows along-strike continuity with the mine pit electro-magnetic anomaly. This target is very conductive and is steeply dipping. The top of the target is 40 m from surface. The target body has estimated dimensions of 110m by 40m width, along its depth extension, and an estimated thickness of 7 m. Prospecting and beep-mat surveying allowed for the discovery of many closely spaced graphite veins and historical exploration pits in that area.

All of the proposed targets fit well with the deposit model developed by the Company. The model proposes hydrothermal and pneumatolytic processes that result in graphite and wollastonite mineralization associated with intrusive bodies cutting into marble units. Target E1 and E2 are modeled as horizontal conductors that could correspond to altered rock units located over an intrusive body while the sub-vertical target E3 would correlate well with graphite veins arising from a deeper source.

A planned 1,000 m drill campaign conducted by George Downing Estate Drilling Ltd. commenced in December 2013 to test these three targets.

Ground prospecting and beep mat surveying was conducted over some of the remaining EM anomalies on the East block, resulting in the discovery of many graphite veins southeast of the Miller Mine pit, 114 m from high priority anomaly E3. Selected grab samples collected from these veins returned assays of 29.9% Cg, 23.4% Cg, 29.8% Cg, 29.9% Cg, 24.5% Cg and 33.3% Cg. Further exploration with trenching is planned over these new areas of surface mineralization, as well on the other EM anomalies where no outcrop was present.

Anomaly picking and modeling was conducted by Geotech on the West claim block, which was surveyed by VTEM airborne survey simultaneously with the East block.

In December 2013, the Company announced results from additional chemical characterization testing of purified graphite concentrate from the Miller property. A sample of the Miller vein graphite was subjected to a two stage caustic roast/acid leaching process, by SGS Canada Ltd., which was then submitted to Evans Analytical Group, of Liverpool, New York ("EAG") for full survey chemical analysis by glow

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

discharge mass spectrometry (GDMS). The sample was analyzed both as received, and also subsequent to rapid high temperature heat treatment in an inert atmosphere, to provide comparison of the total contaminants before and after heat treatment. Total measured elemental impurities before heat treatment were greater than 246 ppm by weight. Total measured impurities after heat treatment were less than 23 ppm. Thus, more than 90% of the contaminants were removed from this graphite by rapid thermal upgrading, yielding graphite of 99.9978% purity. It should be noted that industry standard assay methods used by graphite exploration companies are unable to determine graphite purity beyond 99.9%. The techniques used here make possible a much more precise measurement of overall purity.

Specific elements which were found in the pre-treated sample, but no longer detectable after thermal treatment include: chromium, copper, iron, lead, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, strontium, titanium, yttrium, zinc, and zirconium. In addition, aluminum, boron, calcium, chlorine, silicon, sodium, and sulphur were also reduced significantly (decreased by 50% or more). Heat treatment conditions were: flowing helium atmosphere (100 mL/min); temperature 2000-2200 C.; duration 10 minutes.

The thermally upgraded graphite (99.9978% Cg) easily exceeds the overall purity threshold for nuclear grade graphite (99.97% Cg). Another nuclear grade purity criterion is the Boron Equivalent Content (BEC), a measure of the neutron capture potential of the elemental contaminants in the graphite. Based on only the three detected elements (boron, chlorine, and nickel) among the list of sixteen elements typically considered for the calculation of the Boron Equivalent Concentration, the BEC of this graphite sample was 0.164 ppm. When the detection limits for the other 13 elements were included (as per ASTM methods), the BEC was not more than 0.966 ppm, well below the strictest standard (2 ppm BEC) typically applied to nuclear graphite purity specifications.

In December 2013, the Company also reported the results of crystallinity and exfoliative behaviour tests conducted by EAG. The crystallinity results were obtained using Raman spectroscopy, which definitively determines the degree of crystallinity of certain materials, including graphite. Raman spectroscopy is the collection of light inelastically scattered by a material or compound. When a light of known wavelength strikes a material, the light is shifted according to the chemical functionalities of the material. The intensity of this shifted light depends on both molecular structure and macrostructure. As a result of these phenomena, the collection of the shifted light gives a Raman spectrum that can provide direct information regarding the molecular vibrations of the compound or material. We can then interpret this information to determine chemical structure, organization, and in some cases, non-covalent intermolecular interactions. The Raman spectrum of graphite is very well characterized, which permits clear interpretations of the Raman spectra of graphite test materials, based on the component peak intensities and positions of the spectral features.

A sample of the Miller high-purity graphite was submitted to a "LabRam" J-Y Spectrometer. An Ar+ ion laser (514.5 nm wavelength) with an 1800 gr/mm grating was used for the measurements. The EAG laboratory report summarizes the results, as follows: "The Raman spectrum was that of a single crystal of graphite. The crystalline quality of the graphite was better than any other industrial graphite sample we at EAG have analyzed to date."

Currently, most producers intent on separating natural graphite into individual sheets (graphene) or low-multiple sheet graphene use variations on Hummer's Method, which involves some very harsh chemicals that can oxidize the graphene sheets. Those defects can be partially repaired by chemical reduction, yielding reduced graphene oxide. The quality of the graphene produced by this method is not only variable, it can be quite poor when compared to graphene produced by synthetic methods. Synthetic graphene, although often of very high quality, is much more expensive to produce.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

The last step in the exfoliation of graphene from natural graphite by Hummer's method (the actual separation of the individual graphene layers) is by immersion in a polar solvent, in combination with sonication (high-frequency vibrations induced by ultra-sound emitters). Dr. Karol Putyera, working at EAG, dispersed a sample of the high-purity Miller graphite in the non-polar solvent carbon disulphide, and without sonication, the sample partially exfoliated. Dr. Putyera of EAG remarked, "In combination with the exceptional high purity and highly crystalline nature of the Miller graphite, this dispersion behavior could lead to solution-based processing of this material for producing graphene, which opens up a wide range of potential applications."

Other characterization procedures, including X-Ray Diffraction Spectroscopy (XRD), to provide greater insight into the crystalline nature of the Miller graphite, and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), to provide visual images of the crystals, are also being conducted.

In December 2013, the Company acquired a 100% interest in eight claim units referred to as the Calumet Claims from Caribou King Resources Ltd. The claims are contiguous to the historic Miller Graphite Mine.

The Company accompanied by technical and legal advisors, met in Ottawa with a number of federal government officials from various agencies, to ensure that the Company is in full compliance with import/export controls, licensing, and documentation required by domestic and international law with respect to production and shipments of nuclear and military grade graphite. The Company anticipates additional meetings with federal government officials.

In February 2014, the Company provided an update on the advancement of its winter exploration program which commenced in December 2013. Drill hole DDH13-09 confirmed that the E2 anomaly is associated with a sulfide-rich intersection with minor disseminated graphite. Drill hole DDH13-10 targeted the E3 anomaly and encountered a wide intersection of minor and disseminated graphite in marble. During movement of the drill to the E3 drill site, a graphite-rich vein (VN3) was exposed over two metres in width and along strike for five metres before pinching out. The VN3 discovery was subjected to drilling with six shallow drill holes targeting the vein at depth and along its projected extension on strike and at depth. The winter campaign encountered bad weather, which slowed down the overall drilling production. A total of 547 metres in nine holes was completed in this phase of the program.

Half splits of the drill core were delivered to Actlabs (Ancaster, ON) for gold, base metal and graphite assays. The results are reported using protocol 4F-C graphitic in which the samples underwent drying, crushing with up to 90% passing through a #10 square-mesh screen, riffle splitting (250 gram) and pulverizing to 95% passing a 105 micron square-mesh screen. Graphitic carbon (Cg) was determined by multistage furnace treatment and infrared absorption, with a 0.05% detection limit. Quality control and assurance performed by Actlabs on in-house standards and blanks produced acceptable results.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

Highlights of the drilling results are presented below.

Drillhole	Azimuth, degrees	Inclination, degrees	From, m	To, m	Interval, m*	%Cg
DDH13-15	275	50	6.00	7.80	1.80	48.60
		<i>including</i>	<i>6.00</i>	<i>6.50</i>	<i>0.50</i>	63.20
DDH13-14	275	45	4.00	7.50	3.50	6.80
		<i>including</i>	<i>4.00</i>	<i>4.30</i>	<i>0.30</i>	50.50
DDH13-11	240	55	10.00	12.30	2.30	8.10
		<i>including</i>	<i>10.00</i>	<i>10.90</i>	<i>0.90</i>	11.00
DDH13-12	245	45	11.50	22.00	10.50	2.00
		<i>including</i>	<i>16.50</i>	<i>21.00</i>	<i>4.50</i>	3.50
DDH13-17	280	45	2.00	15.00	13.00	1.00
		<i>including</i>	<i>4.80</i>	<i>9.10</i>	<i>4.30</i>	1.60

NOTES: Only core samples with visible graphite content were assayed. *Data are insufficient at this time to estimate true thicknesses.

The most significant results are from the new vein discovery VN3 where drilling in core hole DDH13-15 encountered 48.60% C graphite (Cg) over 1.8 metres, including 63.20% Cg over 0.5 metres. This intersection of graphite occurs 4.6 metres (vertically) beneath the VN3 showing. DDH13-14 intersected a graphite vein grading 50.50% over 0.30 metres in 3.50 interval metre interval grading 6.80% Cg between the surface and the DDH13-15 graphite mineralization. The VN3 showing remains open at depth.

The other hole of interest is hole DDH13-11, which targeted a wollastonite-graphite pod located 22.5 metres southeast of the VN2 showing in the trench area. The hole was successful in extending the mineralization hosting the pod to a depth of 8.19 metres (vertically) beneath the surface showing. Grades similar to other graphite pods, specifically 8.10% Cg over 2.3 metres including 11.00% Cg over 0.90 metres. The pod southeast of the VN2 showing is suspected to be within the same mineralized corridor that extends to at least 39.3 metres (vertically) beneath the VN2 showing. The mineralized corridor also remains open at depth.

Many lower grade intersections were also sampled during drilling. Some of the lower grade mineralization includes graphitic marble grading 2.00% over 10.50 metres including 4.50 metres at 3.50% Cg and 1.00% over 13.00 metres including 4.30 metres at 1.6% Cg. Isolated values range between traces amount of graphite and 4.00% Cg. No significant gold or base metal assays were obtained. The Company will use the geochemistry information to determine alterations patterns and to better interpret the encountered rock units.

The new vein (VN3) discovery triggered the Company to halt the drill campaign and perform a ground electromagnetic survey over the large VTEM anomaly surrounding target E3 to find the best targets before drilling resumes. Dubé & Desaulniers Geoscience Ltd. (Ottawa) was engaged to perform a ground electromagnetic survey using the PhiSpy system. This portable time-domain EM system enables the detection of conductive rocks at optimum depths of 10 to 20 metres, with the results seen in real time on a display screen. This allows for the strongest anomalies to be immediately identified for further testing.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Miller (Continued)

The PhiSpy survey was performed over a 0.11 square kilometre area centered on VN3 with line spacing between 10-20 metres for a total of 12.7 line kilometres. The survey identified 14 anomalies ranging between 5 and 54 metres in maximum dimension. The widest anomaly was discovered in the vicinity of the E3 target identified previously by Geotech, and the Company is currently working with Geotech to re-interpret their results to include the PhiSpy data. Additional data from the core and surface showings will also be incorporated to identify drill targets in this area.

In March 2014, the Company announced that it received its bulk sampling permit which gives permission to collect and ship up to 480 tons of graphite-bearing material from its Miller Mine graphite property in Quebec. According to the authorization delivered by the Ministry of Natural Resources of Quebec, up to 480 tons of material may be extracted for mineralogical testing as well as for distribution to potential purchasers. The sample must be collected between March 15th and September 15th, 2014, and the results of the treatment must be reported to the Ministry by September 15th, 2015. The bulk sample was requested to test the historically mined trench area of the property, along with multiple veins of graphite mineralization found over the area during field exploration by the Company. Stockpiles of graphitic material from historical production have been found in various areas around the former mine and can also be sent out for the purpose of bulk sampling. The removal of surface material in the trench will also help the Company to understand the distribution of graphite pods and veins along the mineralized corridor it has discovered. The Company is currently in discussions with industry-leading graphite processors with respect to toll milling of the bulk sample material and anticipates having 150-250 tons of high-purity graphite concentrate available to ship in the second quarter of 2014.

A second PhiSpy survey was performed to cover the area between VN2 and the Miller Mine pit as well as covering IP anomalies from previous surveys. The survey showed many local anomalies along with two bigger anomalies of similar size to the VN2 anomalies. Winter field work including trenching, beep-mat and TDEM surveys, and prospecting is currently underway on the new PhiSpy anomalies in the VN3 area and the Miller Mine area. Drilling will resume once reinterpretation of all results are complete. Existing targets include the new VN3 showing, the trench area where the VN2 surface mineralization is established to a depth of 39.3 metres, and the E1 anomaly, along with the many PhiSpy anomalies.

The Company has engaged Inlandsis Consultants to produce a NI 43-101 compliant Technical Report for the Miller Mine Property.

Dun Raven

The Dun Raven acquisition includes: Dun Raven A, Dun Raven G and Dun Raven A Extension. Dun Raven A is a graphite deposit with historic reserves of 571,532 tons at 4.72% graphite. The property is easily accessible, 3.5 hours west of Montreal, in the Thorne Township.

The historic reserves come from drilling over a geophysical (self-potential) anomaly. The reserves are only from the drilled part of the anomaly (200 feet max depth of holes) and there is still 75% more of the anomaly left to drill. It is also possible that the tonnage or the grade will vary and the overall deposit could be richer. Numerous high grade samples were found at surface.

The only available description from the Ministry of Natural Resources of Quebec of the graphite quality is from 1955 (GM11478). A flotation process was able to produce a 77.60% C concentrate. It is said that no problems were expected to produce a 80-85% C concentrate (which was a marketable product). The mesh size of the concentrate was 32.97% +100 mesh. Another test included more grinding and produced 24.43% +100 mesh, 38.38% +200 mesh and 23.57% -200 mesh. The same report tells us that the produced concentrate (76% C) contained low iron content.

Operating Activities- Exploration Properties (Continued)

Walker

The Walker Mine is a past producer of graphite located 30 km northeast of Ottawa. The property consists of four claims covering the past mine and eleven claims covering interesting geological formations with potential graphite mineralization around the original mine. More than thirty pits have been reported on the past producing property.

In March 2013, the Company decided to terminate its interest in the Walker property and all capitalized costs were written off.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company incurred \$367,472 on acquisition costs and \$356,683 on exploration and evaluation expenditures on the Miller and Dun Raven properties, net of write-offs and recoveries.

Maria Township Graphite Claims, Ontario, Canada

In May 2012, the Company acquired 38 prospective, large-flake Graphite mineral claims contiguous to, and completely surrounding, Northern Graphite Corporation's "Bissett Creek" Graphite deposit which reported high recovery levels of large-flake, high-purity graphite, consistent across its entire resource and overall recovery rates at approximately 97%. The claims are located in the Maria Township, approximately 17 kilometres from the TransCanada Highway between the cities of Ottawa and North Bay, Ontario. The claims cover an estimated area of 4,990 hectares (12,335 acres). The terms of the agreement are disclosed in the notes to the year-end financial statements.

As at December 31, 2013, the Company determined that it would not develop the Maria Graphite claims, therefore, the exploration and evaluation expenditures have been written off accordingly.

Red Chris South, British Columbia, Canada

In December 2009, the Company purchased a 100% interest in twelve strategic claims in the Red Chris area of north-western British Columbia. The acquisition agreement gave Canada Carbon a 10,914.9 acre (4,410 hectares) property package immediately adjacent to the southwest side of the Red Chris property. In 2010, the Company acquired an interest in 6 additional strategic claims.

Several work programs were conducted on the claims. In 2010, the work program consisted of property reconnaissance, permitting, prospecting, geological reconnaissance, grid preparation, geochemical soil sampling and/or MMI (mobile metal ion) soil sampling, magnetometer survey, an Induced Polarization ("IP") survey, and rock chip sampling of mineral zones.

A drill program began in July 2011 and consisted of four core holes totaling 1,396.36 metres. Additional surface work conducted in 2011 identified interesting anomalies.

The Company was planning to conduct another drill program to test the soil geochemical anomaly and intrusive identified in previous work programs. Given the Company's limited cash position and its focus on graphite, future work on the property was deferred indefinitely and the deferred exploration costs were written off accordingly in 2012.

In May 2013, the Company sold its interest in the Red Chris South property to an arm's length purchaser for consideration of the payment of \$90,000 and a 1.5% Net Smelter Return Royalty. The purchaser has the option of purchasing two-thirds of the NSR for a cash payment of \$1.0 million. The purchaser also agreed to arrange a replacement reclamation bond of \$8,000 in connection with the transaction. The purchaser assumed responsibility for any reclamation on the property.

Operating Activities – Exploration Properties (Continued)

White Gold District Claims, Yukon, Canada

In September 2010, the Company acquired a 100% interest in 128 quartz claims, covering over 6,500 acres, in two separate claim blocks in the White Gold District/Stewart River Area of the Yukon. These claims are in close proximity to announced discoveries by Kaminak Gold Corporation (KAM – TSX.V) at their “Coffee” property (August 24th 2010 news release) and by Underworld Resources Inc. that agreed to a friendly takeover by Kinross Gold Corp (June 30th 2010 news release). Canada Carbon’s claims are underlain by the same quartz-muscovite and chlorite-muscovite schist of the Nasina Assemblage that hosts the deposits on the White Gold property, presenting an attractive exploration target. The terms of the acquisition are disclosed in the notes to the year-end financial statements.

In September 2010, Equity Exploration Consultants Ltd. was engaged to carry out a comprehensive work program. The objective of the work program was to assist in establishing drill targets and areas of high priority for further exploration. As a result of sampling conducted by Equity Exploration Consultants Ltd., a significant multi-element soil anomaly was discovered on one of Canada Carbon's claim blocks.

Soil samples were taken at 100m spacing along contour and ridge lines and were analyzed by ALS Chemex, North Vancouver, for gold by fire assay, and a multi-element suite by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry. The soil anomaly was identified using two long contour lines, the results of which confirmed a significant multi-element anomaly in the area. The southeastern end of the anomaly contains the largest sustained gold anomaly on the property, with values above 10 ppb for over 1 km of contour line, with maximum gold values of 42 and 45 ppb. The anomaly continues for several kilometres to the northwest, with scattered samples yielding gold values among the highest encountered during the program. Particularly at the eastern end, these are accompanied by elevated As, Sb and Mo values.

Equity Exploration Consultants Ltd. recommended a follow-up work program which began in August 2011. A total of 235 soil samples were collected along 400 metre spaced grid lines with 100 meter sampling intervals. This grid was designed to infill the sampling conducted in 2010.

The 2011 program consisted of additional soil sampling to enhance the gold-arsenic anomaly detected in 2010. The 2011 program consisted of a soil sampling grid that was oriented at 140°. Soil sampling lines were spaced 400 metres apart with samples collected at 100 metre spacing along the lines. A total of 235 samples were collected in 2011 from the B horizon, where permafrost permitted. There is a significant gold-arsenic anomaly in the east-central part of the claims that warrants following up, and has sufficient size to be an attractive target. Gold values in soil range from below detection limit to a maximum of 121 ppb. The geology of the property seems to be similar to that of the Kinross Gold Corporation’s nearby Golden Saddle gold deposit.

Additional exploration had been planned for 2012 and would have included geological mapping, additional sampling, trenching and potentially some initial drilling of some of the better anomalies; however, given the Company’s limited cash position and its focus on graphite, the exploration program has been deferred.

In 2012, the Company decided to terminate its interest in one of its two claim blocks it acquired in September 2010 and the capitalized costs were written off accordingly.

As at December 31, 2013, the Company had incurred \$148,721 in acquisition costs and \$72,558 toward exploration and evaluation expenditures related to the Yukon claims, net of recoveries.

Operating Activities – Exploration Properties (Continued)

Carbonatite Syndicate Rare Earth Claims, British Columbia, Canada

In March 2010, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire 100% interest in the Carbonatite Syndicate Rare Earth Claim Group, surrounding Spectrum Mining Corporation's reported "Wicheeda" rare earth discovery. The Carbonatite Syndicate Claim Group is 80 km northeast of Prince George, BC and comprises 43 mineral claims covering approximately 39,715.5 acres (16,045 hectares).

During 2010, the Company acquired interests in additional claims surrounding its original March 2010 optioned claims. These additional acquisitions resulted in the Company holding 211 claims covering 222,414.3 acres.

Multiple work programs were conducted on the property. In 2010, work programs consisted of visual reconnaissance, silt and soil sampling, geological mapping and scintillometer surveys. State-of-the-art AeroTEM and Radiometric airborne geophysical surveys were undertaken on the claims.

In 2011, the Company conducted a seven core hole drill program. Late in the season, exposures of carbonatites and other intrusives south of the drilled area were located however, given the permitting process, it was too late in the season to drill this area. In addition, approximately 4,000 kilometres of airborne Total Magnetic Intensity, eTH and eU survey were conducted.

A future exploration program at Wicheeda is required to follow-up geochemical sampling in areas outlined from the airborne survey and to drill in the area of the carbonatite; however, given the Company's limited cash position and its focus on graphite, further work on the claims has been deferred indefinitely so the deferred costs were written off accordingly in 2012.

Charge Property, British Columbia, Canada

In February 2011, the Company acquired a 100% interest in the Charge prospect located in northern British Columbia, approximately 50 kilometres southeast of the Kemess Mine operated by Northgate Minerals Corporation (NGX – TSX).

A 2011 work program was limited to initial silt sampling of the southern portion of the property. A total of fifty samples were collected and analyzed. Total REE were only slightly anomalous, but there were significant occurrences of anomalous gold.

In 2012, the Company decided not to renew the Charge claims and the capitalized expenditures were written off accordingly.

Arcadia

In 2007, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire a 50% interest in the Arcadia property, a 1,280 hectare area of Inuit-owned land located in Canada's Nunavut territory from Alix Resources Corp.

A drill program began in May 2008. Five drill holes were collared. A total of 263 split core samples were taken for assay and geochemical analysis, comprising approximately 60% of the total core drilled. All samples were fire assayed for Au using a 50g aliquot. Trace element ICP analysis was also carried out.

Most of the quartz vein intervals were intersected at approximately 45 degrees to the core angle so therefore true widths will be approximately 0.7 times the "interval" widths. The assay results from the 2008 drill program confirmed that the high grade zone intersected in four historic holes (G88-3, G89-07,08,10) is continuous over at least 100m on a northeast-southwest trend, and is still open to the north.

Operating Activities –Exploration Properties(Continued)

Arcadia (Continued)

In 2009, the Company did not anticipate performing any additional exploratory work and accordingly wrote off the capitalized costs incurred on the property. The Company dropped its interest in the property in 2013.

The Company has incurred \$14,100 in each of 2011 and 2012 for the maintenance of the claims.

Selected Annual Information

Financial Information

	2013	2012	2011
	\$	\$	\$
Revenue (investment income)	2,350	11,497	43,500
Net Loss for the year	(1,047,538)	(6,181,097)	(1,257,689)
Net Loss per common share, basic and diluted	(0.02)	(0.14)	(0.04)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	66,225,603	42,883,873	35,674,353
Balance Sheet Data			
Working capital	657,838	47,423	754,504
Total assets	2,911,648	1,698,623	6,174,564
Long-term debt (rehabilitation, restoration and environmental)	24,400	-	5,986

Results of Operations

The results of operations reflect the overhead costs incurred for mineral property acquisitions and exploration expenses incurred by the Company to maintain good standing with the various regulatory authorities and to provide an administrative infrastructure to manage the acquisition, exploration and financing activities of the Company. General and administrative costs can be expected to increase or decrease in relation to the changes in property acquisition, exploration and sales activities. As at December 31, 2013, the Company had not recorded any significant revenues.

Results of Operations (Continued)

Management, Consulting and Professional Fees

Management, consulting and professional expenses for the respective twelve-month periods ended December 31 were as follows:

As at December 31	2013 \$	2012 \$	2011 \$
Management Fees	188,750	500,000	120,000
Professional Fees	205,373	219,843	118,877
Consulting Fees	55,500	57,500	51,000

The increase in management fees in 2012 and 2013 is attributable to the inclusion of \$25,000 per month for the services of the new CEO and the issuing of 2,500,000 shares valued at \$250,000 pursuant to the new CEO's consulting contract. The Company hired a new CEO in May 2012 and terminated him in March 2013.

Professional fees consist of legal, audit, tax and accounting expenses. In fiscal 2013, the Company experienced an increase of \$9,616 in accounting fees and a \$24,086 decrease in legal fees. The accounting fee increase relates to payment for additional workload. Legal fees in 2012 included a charge for the issuance of 500,000 shares valued at \$50,000 to corporate in-house counsel pursuant to a consulting contract. The consulting contract for in-house counsel has terminated. The drop in legal fees is partially offset by increased fees in 2013 for permitting for the Miller property, government discussions regarding nuclear graphite implications and legal action against stockhouse defamers.

Consulting fees have stayed relatively flat over the last three years.

Office, Rent and Miscellaneous

Office, rent and miscellaneous expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$61,131 (2012: \$86,120, 2011: \$100,536). The decrease in 2013 is predominantly related to the elimination of rent and office operating costs for the Oakville location. The Company moved its offices to Oakville in 2012 but effective April 1, 2013, the Company vacated the premises and assigned its lease obligations to a third party. Expenses were higher in 2011 as a result of Part XII.6 tax on unspent flow through commitments.

Sales and Marketing

Sales and Marketing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$95,929 (2012: \$55,044, 2011: \$Nil). In 2012, the Company contracted the services of a Vice President of Development and a Director of Sales and Marketing to focus on the sales of graphite. Pursuant to the terms of the contracts with the sales and marketing consultants, the Company was required to issue shares worth \$50,000 in total to these individuals upon termination of the contracts. The Company was unable to generate any sales. The Company eliminated the sales and marketing positions on March 18, 2013.

Shareholder Communications and Promotional Expenses

Shareholder communications and promotional expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$100,319 (2012: \$158,195, 2011: \$149,858). The decrease in expenses in 2013 primarily relates to the elimination of the monthly fees for the director of corporate communications hired in May 2012 including a charge of \$50,000 for the value of shares issued pursuant to her consulting contract. This decrease is partially offset by the cost of attendance at various graphite trade shows and the cost of creating and distributing press releases in 2013.

Results of Operations (Continued)

Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$Nil (2012: \$310,710, 2011: \$490,058). While the Company granted 2,350,000 options during 2013, the value of the options was charged to exploration and evaluation assets. In 2012, the Company granted 3,000,000 options, the value of which was expensed to share-based compensation. In addition, 2012 included charges for the amortization of 1,100,000 options that were granted on December 29, 2010 and the amortization of 500,000 options granted in July 2011. Both of these option grants vested over 18 months.

Share-based compensation in 2011 is a result of the amortization of the fair value of 1,100,000 options that were granted on December 29, 2010 and the amortization of 500,000 options granted in July 2011.

Property Investigation costs

Property investigation costs for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$Nil (2012: \$65,575, 2011: \$Nil). Costs incurred in fiscal 2012 were related to the expenses incurred in evaluating certain mineral claim acquisition opportunities for the quality and quantity of potential graphite and the "fit" for Canada Carbon's strategy. Similar expenses were not incurred in 2013 or 2011.

Transfer Agent & Filing Fees

Transfer agent & filing fees for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$25,519 (2012: \$34,322, 2011: \$23,967). Fees for fiscal 2013 were in line with fiscal 2011 levels. The increase in transfer agent and filing fees in 2012 is primarily related to filing fees for changes to the stock option plan, consultant share grants and the corporate name change.

Travel and Accommodation

Travel and accommodation expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$15,558 (2012: \$22,778, 2011: \$15,896). Travel and accommodation costs in 2013 were in line with 2011 levels. Expenses were higher in 2012 as the Company incurred travel costs related to raising funds and pursuing various business opportunities.

OTHER ITEMS

The Company incurred a foreign exchange loss of \$277 compared to a foreign exchange loss of \$732 in the prior year as a result of fluctuations in the US to Canadian dollar exchange rates.

Investment income in 2013 was \$2,350 compared with \$11,497 in the prior year due to the loss of the monthly dividend from Superior Plus Corp. The Company sold its investment in Superior Plus Corp. in September 2012.

In 2013, the Company wrote off exploration and evaluation expenditures of \$389,748 related to the Maria and Walker graphite claims. In 2012, expenditures of \$4,780,897 related to the Charge, Red Chris South, Rare Earth Elements properties and one of the claim blocks on the Yukon White Gold prospect were written off.

In 2011, the Company booked a \$202,101 expense for a shortfall of flow-through expenditures. The actual expense was \$17,227 less than anticipated and the recovery is reflected in 2012. There was no shortfall or recovery in 2013.

A gain of \$95,000 was recorded on the disposal of the Red Chris mineral claims in fiscal 2013. No mineral claims were sold in fiscal 2012.

Results of Operations (Continued)

OTHER ITEMS (Continued)

In 2012, the Company sold its investment in Superior Plus Corp. for a loss of \$21,216.

On April 1, 2013, the Company assigned all of its office lease obligations to a third party. As part of the terms of the assignment of the lease, the Company agreed to pay rent for April 2013 and transfer the office equipment and furniture it purchased during fiscal 2013 to the new tenant. The net book value of the office equipment and furniture of \$9,748 was written off accordingly. In 2012, the Company disposed of equipment with a book value of \$1,813 for gross proceeds of \$930 resulting in a net loss of \$883.

In 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized an income tax recovery related to the utilization of unrecorded deferred tax assets to offset the taxable capital gain created by the expiry of warrants.

Summary of Quarterly Results

The following table sets out selected quarterly information for the last eight quarters.

Three Months Ended	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Revenue (investment income)	1,523	620	185	22	444
Net Income (Loss)	(354,721)	(153,337)	(68,184)	(471,296)	(4,690,689)
Net Income (Loss) per common share	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.10)
Three Months Ended	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Revenue	444	3,156	3,866	4,031	7,322
Net Income (Loss)	(4,690,689)	(1,082,481)	(297,004)	(110,923)	(52,882)
Net Income (Loss) per common share	(0.10)	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)

The net loss for the three months ended December 31, 2013 was \$354,721 compared with a loss of \$4,690,689 for the same period in the prior year. The largest contributor to the reduction is the difference of \$4,196,962 of written off exploration and evaluation expenditures between the two years. Explanations for the variances in quarterly expenditures are the same as those provided in the annual expenditure analysis provided above except for professional fees. Professional fees for the three months ended December 31, 2013 were \$93,546 compared with \$58,572 in the prior year. This increase in fees is attributable to legal fees related to permitting for the Miller property, government discussions regarding nuclear graphite implications and legal action against Stockhouse defamers. Subsequent to year end, the Company has recovered some of the legal costs related to the legal action.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's cash and cash equivalent position at December 31, 2013 was \$626,715 compared with a cash and cash equivalent position of \$58,029 at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2013, the Company had working capital of \$657,838 compared to working capital of \$47,423 at December 31, 2012.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company utilized \$651,053 for operating activities and \$456,277 for mineral property expenditures. The Company raised \$1,457,685 from the proceeds of a private placement net of issue costs, \$118,000 from the exercise of warrants and options, \$90,000 from the sale of its Red Chris South mineral property interests and \$10,314 from the refund of reclamation bonds.

In March 2013, the Company closed a private placement in which it issued 5,000,000 units for gross proceeds of \$500,000. Each unit consisted of one common share and one common share purchase warrant. Each warrant is exercisable into one common share for an exercise price of \$0.20 per share for a period of two years. Of the 5,000,000 units issued, 1,250,000 units were flow through units issued at the same terms as the non-flow-through units. Finder's fees of \$1,200 were paid and 12,000 compensation warrants were issued pursuant to the private placement.

In July 2013, the Company closed a private placement in which it issued 2,000,000 units for gross proceeds of \$300,000. Each unit consisted of one common share and one half common share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant is exercisable into one common share for an exercise price of \$0.25 per share for a period of three years. Finder's fees of \$24,000 were paid and 200,000 compensation units were issued pursuant to the private placement.

In September 2013, the Company closed a private placement in which it issued 650,000 units for gross proceeds of \$208,000. Each unit consisted of one flow-through common share and one half non-flow-through common share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant is exercisable into one common share for an exercise price of \$0.40 for a period of two years.

In October 2013, the Company closed a private placement in which it issued 1,400,000 units for gross proceeds of \$350,000. Each unit consisted of one common share and one common share purchase warrant. Each warrant is exercisable into one common share for an exercise price of \$0.40 per share for a period of two years. Finder's fees of \$21,000 were paid and 84,000 compensation warrants were issued pursuant to the private placement.

In December 2013, the Company completed a flow-through private placement in which it issued 1,116,000 units for gross proceeds of \$178,560. Each unit consisted of one flow-through common share and one half non-flow-through share purchase warrant exercisable at \$0.25 per share for eighteen months. Finder's fees of \$10,714 cash were paid and 89,280 compensation warrants were issued.

The Company has sufficient cash to cover its administrative expenses for the next twelve months however, in order to continue to actively explore the potential of the Miller property, the Company will need to raise additional funds. In April 2014, the Company arranged a flow-through private placement which would result in gross proceeds of \$825,000.

There were no material credit facilities in place as at December 31, 2013.

Any commitments to pay cash or issue shares are disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

Related Party Transactions

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company entered into the following transactions with related parties:

- a) Incurred management fees of \$120,000 (2012 - \$120,000) to R. Bruce Duncan. Mr. Duncan resigned as President and CEO effective May 23, 2012 to become Chairman of the Board. On March 18, 2013 as a result of the termination of Paul Ogilvie, Mr. Duncan became CEO.
- b) Incurred management fees of \$68,750 (2012 - \$380,000) to O2 Ltd., a company controlled by Paul Ogilvie. Mr. Ogilvie assumed the role of CEO on May 23, 2012 and was terminated on March 18, 2013. The fees in 2012 included \$250,000 representing the value of 2,500,000 shares granted to Mr. Ogilvie pursuant to his consulting contract.
- c) Incurred professional fees of \$70,000 (2012 - \$60,000) to Olga Nikitovic (CFO).
- d) Incurred consulting fees charged to exploration and evaluation expenditures of \$18,750 (2012 - \$70,000) to O2 Ltd., a company controlled by Paul Ogilvie and \$Nil (2012 - \$18,238) to Roger Steininger (former Director).
- e) Incurred legal fees of \$85,522 (2012 - \$64,497) to Tom Fenton of Aird & Berlis LLP, (Corporate Secretary). Fees relate to legal services of which \$58,767 (2012 - \$64,324) is reflected in professional fees and \$26,755 (2012 - \$5,173) is included in share issue costs. As at December 31, 2013, \$13,407 (2012 - \$7,171) was included in accounts payable. This amount is unsecured, non-interest bearing and payable on demand.

The compensation for key management personnel is identified above in (a), (b), (c) and (d). The Company does not pay any health or post employment benefits. Share-based payments to officers and directors were valued at \$66,662 (2012 - \$344,906).

Pursuant to the March 2013 private placement, three officers of the Company subscribed for a total of 500,000 units for gross proceeds of \$50,000.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is not a party to any off balance sheet arrangements or transactions.

Changes in Accounting Policies

Current Accounting Changes

Please refer to Note 4 of the financial statements for a complete description of accounting policy changes.

Adoption of new and amended IFRS pronouncements

The Company has adopted the following new standards, along with any consequential amendments, effective January 1, 2013. These changes were made in accordance with the applicable transitional provisions.

IFRS 7 - Financial Instruments: Disclosures ("IFRS 7") was amended by the IASB in December 2011 to amend the disclosure requirements in IFRS 7 to require information about all recognized financial instruments that are offset in accordance with paragraph 42 of IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation. The amendments also require disclosure of information about recognized financial instruments subject to enforceable master netting arrangements and similar agreements even if they are not set off under IAS 32. The adoption of this standard did not result in any changes to the Company's financial statements.

Changes in Accounting Policies (Continued)

IFRS 11 – Joint Arrangements (“IFRS 11”) was issued by the IASB in May 2011 and will replace IAS 31 Interest in Joint Ventures and SIC 13 Jointly Controlled Entities – Non-Monetary Contributions by Venturers. IFRS 11 is a new standard which focuses on classifying joint arrangements by their rights and obligations rather than their legal form. Entities are classified into two groups: joint operations and joint ventures. A joint operation exists when the parties have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of a joint arrangement. A joint venture exists when the parties have rights to the net assets of a joint arrangement. Assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in a joint operation are accounted for in accordance with the arrangement. Joint ventures are accounted for using the equity method. The adoption of this standard did not result in any changes to the Company’s financial statements.

IFRS 12 – Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities (“IFRS 12”) was issued by the IASB in May 2011. IFRS 12 is a new standard which provides disclosure requirements for entities reporting interests in other entities, including joint arrangements, special purpose vehicles and off balance sheet vehicles. The adoption of this standard did not result in any changes to the Company’s financial statements.

IFRS 13 – Fair Value Measurement (“IFRS 13”) was issued by the IASB in May 2011. IFRS 13 is a new standard which provides a precise definition of fair value and a single source of fair value measurement considerations for use across IFRS. IFRS 13 clarifies that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. It also establishes disclosures about fair value measurement. The adoption of this standard did not result in any significant changes to the Company’s financial statements.

IAS 1 – Presentation of Financial Statements (“IAS 1”) was amended by the IASB in June 2011. As a result of the amendment, items in other comprehensive income will be required to be presented in two categories: items that will be reclassified into profit or loss and those that will not be reclassified. The flexibility to present a statement of comprehensive income as one statement or two separate statements of profit and loss and other comprehensive income remains unchanged. We have amended our statement of loss for all periods presented in these financial statements to reflect the presentation changes required under the amended IAS 1. Since these changes are reclassifications within our statement of comprehensive loss, there is no net impact on our loss or comprehensive loss.

IAS 28 - Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures (“IAS 28”) was issued by the IASB in May 2011 and supersedes IAS 28 Investments in Associates and prescribes the accounting for investments in associates and sets out the requirements for the application of the equity method when accounting for investments in associates and joint ventures. IAS 28 defines significant influence as the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of the investee but is not control or joint control of those policies. IAS 28 also provides guidance on how the equity method of accounting is to be applied and also prescribes how investments in associates and joint ventures should be tested for impairment. The adoption of this standard did not result in any changes to the Company’s financial statements.

Future accounting changes

Certain pronouncements were issued by the IASB or the IFRIC that are mandatory for accounting periods on or after January 1, 2014 or later periods. Many are not applicable or do not have a significant impact to the Company and have been excluded. The following have not yet been adopted and are being evaluated to determine their impact on the Company.

IFRS 9 – Financial Instruments (“IFRS 9”) was issued by the IASB in November 2009 with additions in October 2010 and May 2013 and will replace IAS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement (“IAS 39”). IFRS 9 uses a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value, replacing the multiple rules in IAS 39. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. Most of the requirements in IAS 39 for classification and measurement of financial liabilities were carried forward unchanged to IFRS 9, except that an entity choosing to measure a financial liability at fair value will present the portion of any change

Changes in Accounting Policies (Continued)

in its fair value due to changes in the entity's own credit risk in other comprehensive income, rather than within profit or loss. The new standard also requires a single impairment method to be used, replacing the multiple impairment methods in IAS 39. IFRS 9 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018.

IAS 32 – Financial Instruments: Presentation (“IAS 32”) was amended by the IASB in December 2011 to clarify certain aspects of the requirements on offsetting. The amendments focus on the criterion that an entity currently has a legally enforceable right to set off the recognized amounts and the criterion that an entity intends either to settle on a net basis, or to realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously. The amendments to IAS 32 are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014.

IAS 36 – Impairments of Assets (“IAS 36”) was amended by the IASB in May 2013 to clarify the requirements to disclose the recoverable amounts of impaired assets and require additional disclosures about the measurement of impaired assets when the recoverable amount is based on fair value less costs of disposal, including the discount rate when a present value technique is used to measure the recoverable amount. The amendments to IAS 36 are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of the assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the year. The impact of these estimates are pervasive throughout the financial statements and may require accounting adjustments based on future occurrences. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimate is revised and future periods if the revision affects both current and future periods. Estimates are based on historical experience, current and future economic conditions and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Significant estimates made by the Company include factors affecting the recoverability of exploration and evaluation expenditures, valuation of restoration, rehabilitation and environmental obligations, inputs used for share based payment transactions, inputs used for valuation of warrants and deferred tax assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The areas which require management to make significant judgments, estimates and assumptions in determining carrying values include, but are not limited to:

Assets' carrying values and impairment charges

In the determination of carrying values and impairment charges, management looks at the higher of recoverable amount or fair value less costs to sell in the case of assets and at objective evidence, significant or prolonged decline of fair value on financial assets indicating impairment. These determinations and their individual assumptions require that management make a decision based on the best available information at each reporting period.

Capitalization of exploration and evaluation costs

Management has determined that exploration and evaluation costs incurred during the year have future economic benefits and are economically recoverable. In making this judgement, management has assessed various sources of information including but not limited to the geologic and metallurgic information, proximity of operating facilities, operating management expertise and existing permits.

Critical Accounting Estimates (Continued)

Impairment of exploration and evaluation assets

While assessing whether any indications of impairment exist for exploration and evaluation assets, consideration is given to both external and internal sources of information. Information the Company considers includes changes in the market, economic and legal environment in which the Company operates that are not within its control that could affect the recoverable amount of exploration and evaluation assets. Internal sources of information include the manner in which exploration and evaluation assets are being used or are expected to be used and indications of expected economic performance of the assets. Estimates include but are not limited to estimates of the discounted future after-tax cash flows expected to be derived from the Company's mining properties, costs to sell the properties and the appropriate discount rate. Reductions in metal price forecasts, increases in estimated future costs of production, increases in estimated future capital costs, reductions in the amount of recoverable mineral reserves and mineral resources and/or adverse current economics can result in a write-down of the carrying amounts of the Company's exploration and evaluation assets.

Estimation of decommissioning and restoration costs and the timing of expenditure

The cost estimates are updated annually to reflect known developments, (e.g. revisions to cost estimates and to the estimated lives of operations), and are subject to review at regular intervals. Decommissioning, restoration and similar liabilities are estimated based on the Company's interpretation of current regulatory requirements, constructive obligations and are measured at fair value. Fair value is determined based on the net present value of estimated future cash expenditures for the settlement of decommissioning, restoration or similar liabilities that may occur upon decommissioning of the mine. Such estimates are subject to change based on changes in laws and regulations and negotiations with regulatory authorities.

Income taxes and recoverability of potential deferred tax assets

In assessing the probability of realizing income tax assets recognized, management makes estimates related to expectations of future taxable income, applicable tax planning opportunities, expected timing of reversals of existing temporary differences and the likelihood that tax positions taken will be sustained upon examination by applicable tax authorities. In making its assessments, management gives additional weight to positive and negative evidence that can be objectively verified. Estimates of future taxable income are based on forecasted cash flows from operations and the application of existing tax laws in each jurisdiction. Where applicable tax laws and regulations are either unclear or subject to ongoing varying interpretations, it is reasonably possible that changes in these estimates can occur that materially affect the amounts of income tax assets recognized. Also, future changes in tax laws could limit the Company from realizing the tax benefits from the deferred tax assets. The Company reassesses unrecognized income tax assets at each reporting period.

Share-based payments

Management determines costs for share-based payments using market-based valuation techniques. The fair value of the market-based and performance-based share awards are determined at the date of grant using generally accepted valuation techniques. Assumptions are made and judgment used in applying valuation techniques. These assumptions and judgments include estimating the future volatility of the stock price, expected dividend yield, future employee turnover rates and future employee stock option exercise behaviors and corporate performance. Such judgments and assumptions are inherently uncertain. Changes in these assumptions affect the fair value estimates.

Financial Instruments

Canadian generally accepted accounting principles require that the Company disclose information about the fair value of its financial assets and liabilities. Fair value estimates are made at the statement of financial position date, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties in significant matters of judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates.

Financial Instruments (Continued)

The carrying amounts of cash, receivables and accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the statement of financial position approximate fair market value because of the limited term of these instruments. The Company's cash equivalents classified as held-for trading are carried at fair value. The fair value of its cash equivalents is determined by inputs other than quoted prices that are observable either directly or indirectly.

The Company does not believe it is exposed to significant interest, currency or credit risk arising from these financial instruments. The Company's risk exposures and the impact on the Company's financial instruments are summarized below:

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss associated with a counterparty's inability to fulfil its payment obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to receivables. The receivables relate to sales tax due from the Federal Government of Canada and a Quebec tax credit. The Company has no significant concentration of credit risk arising from operations.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not have sufficient cash resources to meet its financial obligations when they come due. The Company generates cash flow through its private placements in the equity markets. All of the Company's financial liabilities have contractual maturities of less than 30 days and are subject to normal trade terms. The Company requires additional equity financing to fund its fiscal 2014 work programs and operating expenditures. Management believes that it will be successful in raising the necessary funds however, there are no assurances that additional funds will be available on terms acceptable to the Company or at all.

Market risk

(a) Interest rate risk

The Company has cash balances and no interest-bearing debt therefore, interest rate risk is minimal.

(b) Foreign currency risk

The Company's functional and presentation currency is the Canadian dollar. Certain expenditures are transacted in foreign currencies. As a result, the Company is exposed to fluctuations in these foreign currencies relative to the Canadian dollar. Management does not hedge its foreign exchange risk. A 1% change in foreign exchange rates between the Canadian and US dollar at December 31, 2013 would not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

(c) Commodity and equity price risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity prices and equity prices. Commodity price risk is the potential adverse impact on the Company's earnings and value due to volatility in commodity price movements. Equity price risk is the potential adverse effect on the Company due to movements in individual equity prices or the stock market in general. The Company closely monitors commodity prices, individual equity movements and the stock market volatility to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken by the Company.

Commodity prices could adversely affect the Company's future profitability. Even though the Company is not currently a producer and is not expected to be for a number of years, commodity prices may affect the completion of future equity financings and therefore, the Company's liquidity and its ability to meet its ongoing obligations.

(d) Sensitivity analysis

Based on management's knowledge and experience of the financial markets, the Company does not expect material movements in the underlying market risk variables over the next three-month period.

Proposed Transactions

The Company continues to review and assess possible transactions.

Contingencies

The Company does not have any contingencies or commitments other than those disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

Subsequent Events

There are no material subsequent events other than those disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements

The information provided in this report, including the financial statements, is the responsibility of management. In the preparation of these statements, estimates are sometimes necessary to make a determination of future values for certain assets or liabilities. Management believes such estimates have been based on careful judgements and have been properly reflected in the financial statements.

Risks and Uncertainties

The Company's financial condition, results of operation and business are subject to risks. The following are identified as the main risk factors:

Financing

The Company is reliant upon equity financing in order to continue its operations because it is in the business of mineral exploration and does not derive any income from its mineral assets. There is no guarantee that future sources of funding will be available to the Company. If the Company is not able to raise additional funding in the future, it will be unable to carry out its operations and may lose its interests in its mineral properties.

General Resource Exploration Risks and Competitive Conditions

The resource exploration industry is an inherently risky business with large capital expenditures and volatile commodity markets. The marketability of any resource discovered may be affected by numerous factors that are beyond the Company's control and which cannot be predicted, such as market fluctuations, costs to develop, infrastructure and processing equipment, and changes to government regulations, including those relating to royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting of minerals, and environmental protection. This industry is intensely competitive and there is no guarantee that, even if commercial quantities are discovered, a profitable market will exist for their sale. The Company competes with other junior exploration companies for the acquisition of mineral properties as well as for the engagement of qualified contractors. Commodity prices can fluctuate widely, and they are determined in markets over which the Company has no influence.

Governmental Regulation

Regulatory standards continue to change, making the review process longer, more complex and therefore more expensive. Exploration and development on the Company's properties is affected by government regulations relating to such matters as environmental protection, health, safety and labour, mining law reform, water use, land use, land claims of local people, restrictions on production, price control, tax increases, maintenance of claims and tenure. There is no assurance that future changes in such regulations couldn't result in additional expenses and capital expenditures, decreasing availability of capital, competition, reserve uncertainty, title risks, and delays in operations. The Company relies on the expertise and commitment of its management team, advisors, and contractors to ensure compliance with current laws.

Risks and Uncertainties (Continued)

Permits and Licenses

The operations of the Company are subject to a numerous laws and regulations governing protection of the environment, waste disposal and other matters. The Company is required to have a number of licenses and permits from various governmental authorities to carry out its activities. These permits relate to virtually every aspect of the Company's exploration activities. Obtaining permits can be a complex, time-consuming process. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain the necessary permits on acceptable terms, in a timely manner or at all. The cost of delays associated with obtaining permits or complying with the permits could halt, materially delay or restrict the Company from continuing or proceeding with existing or future operations

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

TSX Venture listed companies are not required to provide representations in the annual filings relating to the establishment and maintenance of Disclosure controls and procedures ("DC&P") and Internal controls over financial reporting ("ICFR"), as defined in National Instrument 52-109. In particular, the CEO and CFO certifying officers do not make any representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of (a) controls and other procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in securities legislation, and (b) a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the issuer's IFRS. The issuer's certifying officers are responsible for ensuring that processes are in place to provide them with sufficient knowledge to support the representations they are making in their certificates regarding the absence of misrepresentations and fair disclosure of financial information. Investors should be aware that inherent limitation on the ability of certifying officers of a venture issuer to design and implement on a cost effective basis DC&P and ICFR as defined in National Instrument 52-109 may result in additional risks to the quality, reliability, transparency and timeliness of interim and annual filings and other reports provided under securities legislation.

Other MD&A Requirements

As at the date of this MD&A, the Company had 72,198,794 common shares issued and outstanding.

Stock options of the Company outstanding at the date of this MD&A were as follows:

Options	Exercise Price \$	Expiry Date
197,000	0.25	July 30, 2014
60,000	0.28	April 1, 2015
800,000	0.50	December 29, 2015
400,000	0.35	July 19, 2016
450,000	0.20	June 15, 2017
1,450,000	0.10	April 17, 2018
900,000	0.25	October 18, 2018
4,257,000		

Other MD&A Requirements (Continued)

Warrants of the Company outstanding at the date of this MD&A were as follows:

Warrants	Exercise Price \$	Expiry Date
4,000,000	0.30	October 4, 2014
7,000,000	0.20	October 5, 2014
4,755,000	0.30	October 28, 2014
500,000	0.20	February 1, 2015
12,000	0.10	March 1, 2015
4,500,000	0.20	March 1, 2015
89,280	0.16	June 23, 2015
558,000	0.25	June 23, 2015
325,000	0.40	September 25, 2015
84,000	0.25	October 1, 2015
1,400,000	0.40	October 1, 2015
1,000,000	0.25	July 30, 2016
100,000	0.25	January 6, 2017
24,323,280		

CANADA CARBON INC.

CORPORATE DATA

April 16, 2014

HEAD OFFICE

Suite 605, 1166 Alberni Street
Vancouver, BC, V6E 3Z3
Tel: (604) 638-0971
Fax: (604) 638-0973
Website: www.canadacarbon.com

REGISTRAR & TRANSFER AGENT

Computershare Investor Services
510 Burrard Street, 2nd Floor
Vancouver, BC V6C 3B9

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

R. Bruce Duncan	Executive Chairman of the Board & CEO
Bruce Coventry	Director
Greg Lipton	Director
Olga Nikitovic	CFO
Thomas A. Fenton	Corporate Secretary

CAPITALIZATION

Authorized:	Unlimited
Issued:	72,198,794
Escrow:	Nil

SOLICITORS

Aird & Berlis LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
BCE Place, Suite 1800
Box 754, 181 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9
Tel: (416) 865-4631
Fax: (416) 863-1515

AUDITORS

McGovern, Hurley, Cunningham, LLP
2005 Sheppard Avenue East, Suite 300
Toronto, Ontario M2J 5B4
Phone: (416) 496-1234
Fax: (416) 496-0125

INVESTOR CONTACTS

Dan Terrett
Tel: (604) 638-0971
Fax: (604) 638-0973

LISTINGS

TSX Venture Exchange
Trading Symbol: CCB

Frankfurt Exchange
Trading Symbol: U7N